

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,672	08/05/2003	Masaaki Miyamoto	240086US0CONT	5317	
22850 7:	590 12/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1711		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A	
	10/633,672	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	1	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Terressa M. Boykin	1711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comr IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 November 2004.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the n	nerits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in App	olication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been re	ceived in this National St	age	
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
		-		
Attachment(s)	. .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1)	, —	nmary (PTO-413) ⁄Iail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11-08-04 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Contrary to applicants statement the newly added claims 14, 26 and 38 contain therein the limitations of newly amended claim 1 and original claim 4, no such limitation exist, i.e. the limitations of claim 1 which render the claim allowable are not fully contained in claims 14, 26, or 38. Note that newly amended limitations inserted in claim 1 are not present in claims 14, 26 and 38 with regard to structural formulas (4) and (5) etc.

Consequently, the claimed invention continues to not be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14, 26 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5948876 see cols. 1-3, 5, table I, II and III and examples; or USP 6262210 see cols. 23, 24.

Applicants' invention is directed to a branched aromatic polycarbonate obtained by transesterification and having a viscosity average molecular weight of at least 16,000, wherein the amount of structural units of the following formula (1) contained in its main chain is within a range of from 2,000 to 50,000 wtppm, and the amounts of structural units of the following formulae (2) and (3) contained in its main chain are within a range of from 30 to 10,000 wtppm, respectively:

wherein X is a single bond, a C ₁₋₈ alkylene group, a C ₂₋₈ alkylidene group, a C ₅₋₁₅ cycloalkylene group or a member selected from bivalent groups represented by --O--, --S--, --CO--, --SO-- and --SO2--, 15 wherein X is a single bond, a C.sub.1-8 alkylene group, a C₂₋₈ alkyldene group, a C.sub.5-15 cycloalkylene group, a C₅₋₁₅ cycloalkylidene group or a member selected from bivalent groups represented by --O--, --S--, --CO--, --SO-- and --SO₂--.

USP 5948876 discloses branched polycarbonates are prepared by the reaction of a linear or branched polycarbonate with a branching resin having a molecular weight up to about 3,000, typically a novolak or a bisphenol polymer. The reaction preferably takes place in the presence of a carbonate equilibration catalyst such as a quaternary bisphenolate. The method comprises contacting linear or branched aromatic polycarbonate reagent with branching resin in form of polyphenol, having a molecular weight up to 3000.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,672

Art Unit: 1711

Typical bisphenol polymers comprise structural units of the formulas:

wherein Rr is an alkylene radical or oxygen.

Typical of some of the dihydric phenols that are advantageously employed are bisphenols such as bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)methane, 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methylphenyl)propane, 4,4-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)heptane, 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3,5-dichlorophenyl)propane and 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3,5-dibromophenyl)propane dihydric phenol ethers such as 4-hydroxyphenyl ether and 3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxyphenyl ether; dihydroxybiphenyls such as 3,3'-dichloro-4,4'-dihydorxybiphenyl; dihydroxyaryl sulfones such as bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone and bis(3,5-dimethyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone; dihydroxybenzenes, such as resorcinol and hydroquinone; halo- and alkyl-substituted dihydroxybenzenes, such as 1,4-dihydroxy-2,5-dichlorobenzene and 1,4-dihydroxy-3-methylbenzene; and dihydroxydiphenyl sulfides and sulfoxides such as 4-hydroxyphenyl sulfide and bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfoxide. A variety of additional dihydric phenols are also disclosed herein.

The method as disclosed by the reference allows production of blow moldable branched polycarbonate resins with desired degree of branching and molecular weight. The resins have enhanced melt strength and elasticity. The method comprises contacting linear or branched aromatic polycarbonate reagent with branching resin in

form of polyphenol, having a molecular weight up to 3000. Useful equilibration catalysts, employable singly or in combination, include basic catalysts conventionally employed in transesterification reactions. Representative of such catalysts are oxides, hydrides, hydroxides and amides of alkali or alkaline earth metals, such as lithium hydroxide; basic metal oxides such as zinc oxide; salts of weak acids such as lithium stearate; organotin catalysts such as dibutyltin oxide; aluminum or boron anion containing catalysts. It is generally found convenient to initially form an alcoholic mixture of bisphenol and alkali metal hydroxide, whereupon the bisphenol dissolves as the alkali metal salt, and to add thereto an aqueous-alcoholic solution of the quaternary salt. Another alternative is to combine the bisphenol and quaternary salt and gradually add aqueous alkali metal hydroxide solution thereto. In the water-alkanol embodiment, ambient temperatures in the range of about 20 - 30 C are generally preferred.

USP 6262210 discloses a process for producing aromatic carbonates, which comprises transesterifying, in the presence of a metal-containing catalyst, a starting material selected from a dialkyl carbonate, an alkyl aryl carbonate and a mixture thereof with a reactant selected from an aromatic monohydroxy compound, an alkyl aryl carbonate and a mixture thereof, characterized in that: at least one type of catalyst-containing liquid is taken out, wherein the catalyst-containing liquid is selected from a portion of a high boiling point reaction mixture obtained by the above transesterification and containing the desired aromatic carbonate and the metal-containing catalyst, and a portion of a liquid catalyst fraction obtained by separating the desired aromatic carbonate from the high boiling point reaction mixture, wherein each portion contains (A) high boiling point substance having a boiling point higher than the boiling point of the produced aromatic carbonate and (B) the metal-containing catalyst; (C) a functional substance capable of reacting with at least one component selected from high boiling point substance (A) and metal-containing catalyst (B) is added to the taken-out catalyst-containing liquid; and the (B)/(C) reaction product is recycled to the reaction system, while withdrawing the (A)/(C) reaction product. By the process of the present invention, the desired aromatic carbonates having high purity can be produced stably for a prolonged period of time.

In view of the above, there appears to be no significant difference between the references and that which is claimed by applicant(s). Any differences not specifically mentioned appear to be conventional. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

Obviousness Double Patenting

Claims 1-49 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending

Application/Control Number: 10/633,672

Art Unit: 1711

Application No. 10813042. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications discloseds an aromatic polycarbonate having the same structural formula moieties and identical viscosity average molecular weights.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed 6-30-04 is not in proper format (i.e. 1449 form etc.) and thus has not been initialed.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is

571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

Examiner Terressa Boykin

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711